

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMBIN United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vigunia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/04/2003

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/988,314 11/19/2001 Hae-scok Park 030681-332 8444 21839 7590 09/04/2003 BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P EXAMINER **POST OFFICE BOX 1404** TAMAI, KARL I ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 2834

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

THE PROPERTY OF

 -		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/988,314	PARK ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Tamai IE Karl	2834
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 N	lovember 2001 .	
2a)□		s action is non-final.	
3)	_		
Disposition of Claims			
4) 🖾	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.			
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)			
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/988,314

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 2. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Yagi et al. (Yagi)(JP 06230295). Yagi teaches a micromirror actuator having a substrate 1 with posts 58 supporting a parallel plane torsion bar 11b and mirror 11a, and a groove 10 including an inclined contact surface and formed in the substrate where the inclined contact surface contacts the mirror when rotated. Yagi teaches the mirror is a conductive material and the electrodes on the inclined surface being covered with insulation.

The state of the s

Application/Control Number: 09/988,314 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 2, 4, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yagi et al. (Yagi)(JP 06230295) and Wood et al. (Wood)(US 6396975). Yagi teaches every aspect of the invention except the actuator being magnetic and an electrostatic electrode to claim the mirror on to the inclined surface. Woods teaches that the mirror 14 may be held in the deflected position by an electrostatic electrode in the stator. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the light deflector of Yagi with the actuator being magnetic

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/988,314

Art Unit: 2834

actuator and a clamping electrode of Wood to provide an actuator where the mirror can be clamped into the on/off positions.

- 8. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yagi et al. (Yagi)(JP 06230295) and Wood et al. (Wood)(US 6396975), in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA). Yagi and Wood teach every aspect of the invention except the mirror having a plurality of magnets in the contact area. AAPA teaches a plurality of magnets 4a,4b on the mirror opposite the actuator. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the light deflector of Yagi and Wood with a plurality of magnets on the mirror to interact with the magnetic actuator on the substrate to drive the mirror, and because the magnets would increase the magnetic flux between the mirror and magnetic driver.
- 9. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yagi et al. (Yagi)(JP 06230295), in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA). Yagi teaches the mirror having a magnetic component, but does not teach the mirror having a plurality of magnets. AAPA teaches a plurality of magnets 4a,4b on the mirror opposite the actuator. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to construct the light deflector of Yagi with a plurality of magnets on the mirror to interact with the magnetic actuator on the substrate to drive the mirror, and because the magnets would increase the magnetic flux between the mirror and magnetic driver.

11 11 11 11

Application/Control Number: 09/988,314 Page 5

Art Unit: 2834

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl I.E. Tamai whose telephone number is (703) 305-7066.

The examiner can be normally contacted on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Nestor Ramirez, can be reached at (703) 308-1371. The facsimile number for the Group is (703) 305-3432.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

11-111-11-11-11-1

Karl I Tamai PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER August 27, 2003

> KAPL TAMANNER PRIMARY EXAMINER